

























optimization techniques as described above will facilitate a fair head-to-head comparison in the future.

•*Adapting PARCEL for traffic encryption:* Today 67.2% of the top 151,509 Alexa pages still use HTTP [10] and only 7.25% of the mobile traffic in NorthAmerica is encrypted [30]. However, recent reports do suggest that the aggregated encrypted traffic is increasing throughout the globe [30]. Dealing with HTTPS traffic is an issue not only for *PARCEL* but also for many proxy-assisted solutions( [5,6]) that involve web-page parsing. While our current *PARCEL* implementation lets HTTPS traffic follow the normal path without using our proxy, one approach to handling HTTPS traffic is using a trusted, per-client proxy, as we have discussed in the design section (§ 4.5). In the future, we hope to investigate potential issues around personalizing *PARCEL* proxies, and tackle questions around how they must be deployed, placed, and managed. Understanding the cost of running personalized proxies with large number of users is an interesting direction for future research.

## 10 Acknowledgments

We thank our shepherd David Choffnes and the anonymous reviewers for their constructive feedback and comments. We also thank Oliver Spatscheck for his valuable inputs during discussions. This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation (NSF) under Career Award No. 0953622 and NSF Award No. 1162333. Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of NSF.

## 11 References

- [1] The amazon kindle fire: Benchmarked, tested, and reviewed. <http://www.tomshardware.com/reviews/amazon-kindle-fire-review,3076-7.html>.
- [2] Amazon silk split browser architecture. <https://s3.amazonaws.com/awsdocs/AmazonSilk/latest/silk-dg.pdf>.
- [3] Amazon's silk browser acceleration tested: Less bandwidth consumed, but slower performance. <http://tinyurl.com/84br5tc>.
- [4] Cisco visual networking index: Global mobile data traffic forecast update, 2013-2018. [http://www.cisco.com/c/en/us/solutions/collateral/service-provider/visual-networking-index-vni/white\\_paper\\_c11-520862.html](http://www.cisco.com/c/en/us/solutions/collateral/service-provider/visual-networking-index-vni/white_paper_c11-520862.html).
- [5] Data compression proxy in android chrome. <https://developer.chrome.com/multidevice/data-compression>.
- [6] Opera mini architecture and javascript. <http://dev.opera.com/articles/view/opera-mini-and-javascript/>.
- [7] Pagespeed optimization service. <https://developers.google.com/speed/pagespeed/>.
- [8] Skyfire - cloud based mobile optimization browser. <http://www.skyfire.com/operator-solutions/whitepapers>.
- [9] Squid web proxy. Available at <http://www.squid-cache.org>.
- [10] SSL Pulse. <https://www.trustworthyinternet.org/ssl-pulse/>.
- [11] 3GPP TS 36.331: Radio Resource Control (RRC) (v10.3.0). 2011.
- [12] R. Cáceres, L. Cox, H. Lim, A. Shakimov, and A. Varshavsky. Virtual Individual Servers as Privacy-Preserving Proxies for Mobile Devices. In *Proc. ACM MobiHeld*, 2009.
- [13] M. Carbone and L. Rizzo. Dummynet Revisited. In *ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review*, March 2010.
- [14] B.-G. Chun, S. Ihm, P. Maniatis, M. Naik, and A. Patti. CloneCloud: Elastic Execution between Mobile Device and Cloud. In *Proc. ACM Eurosys*, 2011.
- [15] E. Cuervo, A. Balasubramanian, D. Cho, A. Wolman, S. Saroiu, R. Chandra, and P. Bahl. MAUI: Making Smartphones Last Longer with Code Offload. In *Proc. ACM MobiSys*, 2010.
- [16] J. Eрман, V. Gopalakrishnan, R. Jana, and K.K. Ramakrishnan. Towards a SPDY'ier mobile web? In *Proc. CoNEXT*, Dec 2013.
- [17] Google. SPDY: An experimental protocol for a faster web. <http://www.chromium.org/spdy/spdy-whitepaper>.
- [18] J. Huang, F. Qian, A. Gerber, Z. M. Mao, S. Sen, and O. Spatscheck. A Close Examination of Performance and Power Characteristics of 4G LTE Networks. In *Proc. ACM Mobisys*, 2012.
- [19] R. Kemp, N. Palmer, T. Kielmann, and H. Bal. Cuckoo: a Computation Offloading Framework for Smartphones. In *Proc. MobiCASE*, 2010.
- [20] S. Kosta, A. Aucinas, P. Hui, R. Mortier, and X. Zhang. ThinkAir: Dynamic resource allocation and parallel execution in cloud for mobile code offloading. In *Proc. IEEE INFOCOM*, 2012.
- [21] K. Matsudaira. Making the mobile web faster. *Communications of the ACM*, Vol 56. No 3., 2013.
- [22] R. Netravali, A. Sivaraman, K. Winstein, S. Das, A. Goyal, and H. Balakrishnan. Mahimahi: A Lightweight Toolkit for Reproducible Web Measurement (Demo). In *ACM SIGCOMM 2014*, Chicago, IL, August 2014.
- [23] Web-page-replay. Record and play back web pages with simulated network conditions. <https://www.code.google.com/p/web-page-replay/>.
- [24] F. Qian, K.S.Quah, J.Huang, J.erman, A.Gerber, Z.M.Mao, S.Sen, and O.Spatscheck. Web Caching on Smartphones: Ideal vs. Reality. In *Proc. ACM MobiSys*, 2012.
- [25] F. Qian, S. Sen, and O. Spatscheck. Characterizing Resource Usage for Mobile Web Browsing. In *Proc. ACM MobiSys*, 2014.
- [26] F. Qian, Z. Wang, A. Gerber, Z. M. Mao, S. Sen, and O. Spatscheck. Profiling Resource Usage for Mobile Applications: A Cross-layer Approach. In *Proc. ACM Mobisys*, 2011.
- [27] S. Rajaraman, M. Siekkinen, V. Virkki, and J. Torsner. Bundling Frames to Save Energy While Streaming Video from LTE Mobile Device. In *Proc. ACM MobiArch*, 2013.
- [28] A. Saarinen, M. Siekkinen, Y. Xiao, J. K. Nurminen, and M. Kempainen. Smartdiet: Offloading Popular Apps to Save Energy(Poster). In *Proc. ACM Sigcomm*, 2012.
- [29] A. Saarinen, M. Siekkinen, Y. Xiao, J. K. Nurminen, M. Kempainen, and P. Hui. Can Offloading Save Energy for Popular Apps. In *Proc. ACM MobiArch*, 2012.
- [30] Sandvine. Global internet phenomena report 1h-2014. Available at <https://www.sandvine.com/downloads/general/global-internet-phenomena/2014/1h-2014-global-internet-phenomena-report.pdf>.
- [31] M. Satyanarayanan, P. Bahl, R. Cáceres, and N. Davies. The Case for VM-based Cloudlets in Mobile Computing. *IEEE/Trans. Pervasive Computing*, 2009.
- [32] A. Sivakumar, V. Gopalakrishnan, S. Lee, S. Rao, S. Sen, and O. Spatscheck. Cloud is not a silver bullet: A case study of cloud-based mobile browsing. In *Proceedings of ACM HotMobile*, 2014.
- [33] S. Souders. Onload event and post-onload requests. <http://www.stevesouders.com/blog/2012/10/30/qa-nav-timing-and-post-onload-requests>.
- [34] X. S. Wang, A. Balasubramanian, A. Krishnamurthy, and D. Wetherall. How Speedy is SPDY? In *Proc. NSDI*, April 2014.
- [35] X. S. Wang, H. Shen, and D. Wetherall. Accelerating the Mobile Web with Selective Offloading. In *Proc. ACM MCC*, 2013.
- [36] B. Zhao, B. C. Tak, and G. Cao. Reducing the Delay and Power Consumption of Web Browsing on Smartphones in 3G Networks. In *Proc. ICDCS*, 2011.
- [37] Z. Zhu, P. Gupta, Q. Wang, S. Kalyanaraman, Y. Lin, H. Franke, and S. Sarangi. Virtual base station pool: towards a wireless network cloud for radio access networks. In *Proc. of the 8th ACM International Conference on Computing Frontiers*, 2011.