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Communication over shared media

• Each device hears all signals transmitted within range, and needs to processes them.
  • Accept interested packets, discard those of no interest.
  • E.g., traditional Ethernet, and most wireless communication.

• In current systems, this is done at the network interface card (NIC) to save cycles and power of the main system.
  • Compare the L2 destination address with the host’s own address.
NDN over shared media

• Can take advantage of the broadcast nature
  • Consumer doesn’t need to specify the L2 destination address; any receiver with the content can reply.
  • Especially useful in wireless mobile networks.

• But implementing it in current systems means all packets are delivered to the main CPU for filtering.
  • Looking up PIT/CS/FIB before dropping unwanted packets.

• Can we design a NIC that can filter NDN packets based on their names?
NDN NIC

• Goal: filtering packets based on names.

• Requirements:
  • No false negatives
    • Interests that match CS/PIT/FIB must be admitted.
    • Data that match PIT must be admitted.
  • Can have false positives but the fewer the better
  • Support regular end-hosts with small amount of memory.
    • 100s of thousands of names vs. 10s KB memory.

• Idea: put names into Bloom filters on NIC.
  • Incoming packets are admitted if they find a match in the BF.

• Challenge: with limited memory, choose which names to go into the filters to satisfy the requirements.
Name Matching

• The naïve approach is to store all names from CS/PIT/FIB in BF, but it’s actually more complicated than that.
  • Some names should not be stored in the BF. E.g., the default route in FIB, and PIT entries forwarded to local producers.

• NDN has two types of packets, and name matching is more than exact match.
Name Matching and Bloom Filters

- **FIB:**
  - /A/B can match interest name /A/B, /A/B/C, or longer.
  - Store /A/B in BF
  - Filtering interests by looking up all prefixes of the interest name.

- **CS:**
  - /A/B/C/D can match interests /A, /A/B, /A/B/C, and /A/B/C/D.
  - Store /A/B/C/D and all its prefixes in BF.
  - Filtering interests by looking up the interest name.

- **PIT:**
  - /A/B/C matches Data with same or longer names, e.g., /A/B/C/D.
  - Store /A/B/C and all prefixes.
  - Filtering Data by looking up Data name and all its prefixes.

- Need three different Bloom Filters with different contents and different filtering procedures.
Name Removal From the Filters

• When CS/PIT/FIB are updated, the Bloom Filters may also need to be updated, otherwise will lead to false negatives or false positives.

• Name addition is easy for BF, but name removal needs counting Bloom filters, which increases memory usage.

• Maintain counting Bloom filters in the driver, only store BFs in NIC.
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Reducing False Positives

- The more names added to a Bloom filter, the higher its false positive rate.
  - E.g., a 8KB BF with optimal hash functions, false positive 4.3% with 10K names, but 54% with 50K names.

- BF-CS is the primary optimization target
  - A regular host usually has a small FIB and a relatively small PIT.
  - But it can cache many Data objects in the CS, and all those names and their prefixes need to go into BF-CS.

- The idea is to introduce a shorter prefix in the BF to replace multiple CS names.
The Basic CS Algorithm

- Skip a CS name if it is already covered by a FIB entry.
- Doesn’t introduce any new false positive.
When Basic CS will be effective?

- Basic CS is effective when the CS caches many Data from local producer applications, because those Data names are covered by FIB entries registered by local applications.
  - i.e., content producers

- If most cached Data came from the network, their names aren't under FIB prefixes, and Basic CS will not work well.
  - i.e., content consumers.
The Active CS Algorithm

- Create a new prefix in BF-FIB so we can remove multiple names in BF-CS.
  - Reduce BF false positives since names are fewer.
  - But introduce **prefix match false positive**, e.g., /A/B/Z will be admitted.

BF-FIB entry: /A/B

Add this to BF-FIB.

Can remove these from BF-CS.
Active CS

• Goal of Active CS is to minimize overall false positives.
  • Bloom filter false positives can be estimated based.
  • But prefix match false positives depends on traffic pattern.

• We don't calculate prefix match false positives. Instead, Active CS tries to keep Bloom filter false positives between two thresholds.
  • Aggregate if BF false positive is high.
  • De-aggregate if BF false positive is low.
Evaluation

• Collect NFS traces in a department network.

• Use the NFS requests and replies to derive NDN interest names and packet timing, and use that to drive the emulation of NDN file access in mini-NDN.

• Record the interest and data packets, their timing, and table changes in NFD.

• Simulate and compare direct mapping, basic CS and active CS with different parameters:
  • How many packets are rejected by NDN-NIC?
  • How many packets are accepted but eventually dropped in NFD (false positives)?
  • The overhead?
**Regular NIC** accepts all packets, but more than 98% are dropped by software.

16KB of Bloom filters can filter out 92% of all received packets.

(65536-bit BF-FIB and 256-bit BF-PIT; Direct Mapping; two hash functions)
Compare different CS algorithms

- Improvement by basic CS is limited.
- Active CS helps more.
When to use Active CS?

Active CS is much better than DM with small BFs.

But it's not so good with large BFs.

(65536-bit BF-FIB and 256-bit BF-PIT)
Summary

• On shared media, filter packets in the NIC to save cycles and power of the main system.
  • Can be made effective using small amount of memory.
  • Active CS algorithm: tradeoff between two types of false positives.

• Future Work
  • Feasibility in hardware implementation: overhead and cost, update dynamics and the implications.
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